

Hands-on Particle Physics Experiments for High-school Students at S'Cool LAB / CERN

Julia Woithe^{1,2}, Jochen Kuhn², Andreas Müller³, Sascha Schmeling¹ ¹CERN, Geneva, Switzerland ²TU Kaiserslautern, Germany ³Université de Genève, Switzerland





SPONSORED BY THE





1 S'COOL LAB – A HANDS-ON PARTICLE PHYSICS LAB

2 CONCEPT OF S'COOL LAB WORKSHOPS

③ AFFECTIVE OUTCOMES OF S'COOL LAB

1 S'COOL LAB – A HANDS-ON PARTICLE PHYSICS LABORATORY FOR HIGH-SCHOOL STUDENTS

Aims of S'Cool LAB



Give insights into the working methods, technologies and research of the world's largest particle physics laboratory Spread CERN's spirit of science curiosity

Make CERN's physics and technologies understandable to students through handson experimentation

S'Cool LAB – conception

HANDS-ON PARTICLE PHYSICS LEARNING LABORATORY

For high-school students and teachers International audience from more than 20 countries Independent experimentation in small groups Support by volunteering CERN scientists S'Cool LAB days (1000 students p.a.) and S'Cool LAB "light" (6000 participants p.a.)



S'Cool LAB – conception

200 m² MODULAR LABORATORY SPACE AT CERN

State-of-the-art IT equipment incl. videoconferencing Experiments for schools linked to CERN's scientific programme and technologies, e.g.





Example: Positron-Emission-Tomography (PET)











S'Cool LAB – conception



TEST BED FOR PHYSICS EDUCATION RESEARCH

Development and evaluation of student activities accompanied by research in physics education

PER in S'Cool LAB – design & research questions



e.g. physics?

Area of research 2: Cognitive outcomes of S'Cool LAB

How much does students' **conceptual understanding** regarding the

e.g. conducted experiments improve in the framework of S'Cool LAB workshops?

2 CONCEPT OF S'COOL LAB WORKSHOPS

Students' conceptions and POE tasks

"Students' prior knowledge can interfere with how they observe and remember lecture demonstrations" (Miller, Lasry, Chu, & Mazur 2013)



Example: electron gun – particle acceleration

CERN's Large Hadron Collider 6.5 TeV protons, 27 km circumference

Electron tube in S'Cool LAB 300 eV electrons, 30 cm length









3 AFFECTIVE OUTCOMES OF S'COOL LAB

Appreciation of S'Cool LAB and the experiments

- pilot phase from May to June 2016:
 - 96 students
 - 35% female / 65% male
 - avg. age 16.8 years (grades 9 12)
 - students from Germany, Spain, Sweden, The Netherlands, and Turkey
 - Avg. Math grade 77%, avg. Physics grade 75%
- Students filled out (online) questionnaires before and after their visit

S'O		
Home	AB Stoel LAB Questionnare - After your visit to CERN > Answer the questions.	
we are interested in your opinion:		
	Evaluation – S'Cool LAB Day overall	
	How did you like your S'Cool LAB day at CERN?	
9 -	The guided tour at CERN	
	not at all OOOOO OOO very much	
10 •	Working on the experiments in S*Cool LAB	
11 •	not at al OOOOOOV very much	
	not at all OOOO Very much	
	How do you feel about the durations?	
12 •	The guided tour at CERN	
	too short	
13 •	Working on the experiments in S*Cool LAB	
	too short	
14 •	The whole day at CERN including SCool LAB	
15 .	We had enough time to work on the experiments on our own in S'Cool LAB.	
	completely disagree	
	How much did you learn?	
	http://cern.ch/e-scoollab	

Enjoyment & perceived learning gain Full-day programme: guided tour vs. S'Cool LAB

Dependent t-test results

- significant difference between hands-on workshops in S'Cool LAB and guided tour
- Enjoyment t(95) = 4.81, p < .001**, Cohen's d¹ = 0.49
- Perceived learning gain t(95) = 3.45, p < .001**, Cohen's d¹ = 0.39

1	calculated
	according to
	Dunlop, Cortina,
	Vaslow & Burke
	(1996, p. 171)

- → Students enjoy both parts of their full-day programme at CERN and report that they have learned a lot during the day
- \rightarrow Students like S'Cool LAB more than the tour

Enjoyment & perceived learning gain

The guided tour at CERN



Evaluation S'Cool LAB experiments

Independent t-test results

- No significant difference in perception of experiments by girls and boys
- → Favourite experiment: Cloud Chamber
- \rightarrow Very promising: PET experiment



Evaluation of the experiments



Motivation variables physics: Interest / Engagement and Self concept

calculated

according to Dunlop, Cortina,

Vaslow & Burke

(1996, p. 171)

Dependent t-test results

- significant difference between post and pre results
- Interest / engagement t(95) = 9.71, p < 0.001** Cohen's d¹ = 0.94
- Self concept t(95)= 8.08, p < 0.001** Cohen's d¹ = 0.86
- \rightarrow High situational interest in S'Cool LAB
- \rightarrow High self-concept in S'Cool LAB

Motivation variables pre / post



Curiosity state particle physics

Dependent t-test results

- No significant difference between post and pre results
- t(92) = 0.82, p = 0.414
- → Curiosity regarding particle physics is already high before the visit to S'Cool LAB

Curiosity state pre / post





curiosity state particle physics

"I had the idea of studying physics from before, but everyone kept telling me that it was crazy and it had pretty few professional exits. Thanks to your experiments I am convinced now that I want to at least try it."

Student after S'Cool LAB workshop

Thank you for your attention!

Literature

Dunlap, W. P., Cortina, J. M., Vaslow, J. B., & Burke, M. J. (1996). Meta-analysis of experiments with matched groups or repeated measures designs. *Psychological Methods*, *1*(2), 170.

Hess. V. F. (1912): Über Beobachtungen der durchdringenden Strahlung bei sieben Freiballonfahrten. *Physikalische Zeitschrift* 13, 1084–1091.

Maloney, D. P. (1985). Charged poles?. Physics Education, 20(6), 310.

Miller, K., Lasry, N., Chu, K., & Mazur, E. (2013). Role of physics lecture demonstrations in conceptual learning. *Physical review special topics – Physics education research* 9.

Pawek, C. (2009). Schülerlabore als interessefördernde außerschulische Lernumgebungen für Schülerinnen und Schüler aus der Mittel-und Oberstufe. *Doctoral dissertation*, Christian-Albrechts Universität Kiel.

Rennie, L. J. (1994). Measuring affective outcomes from a visit to a science education centre. *Research in Science Education*, 24(1), 261-269.

White, R. T., & Gunstone, R. F. (1992). Probing Understanding. Great Britain: Falmer Press.